UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
DISTRICT OF NEVADA (RENO)	

TELEPHONIC DISCOVERY HEARING RE STIPULATION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CARLA BALDWIN CARRY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: SEAN P. GATES, ESQ.

Charis Lex P.C.

301 N. Lake Ave., Suite 1100

Pasadena, CA 91101

For Defendant: WILLIAM M. FISCHBACH, III, ESQ.

FLETCHER R. CARPENTER, ESQ.

Tiffany & Bosco

2525 E. Camelback Rd. Phoenix, AZ 85016

Court Reporter: Recorded; Digital

Courtroom Administrator: LGM

Transcribed by: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 8365

Corpus Christi, TX 78468

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

Reno, Nevada; Friday, September 27, 2019; 1:04 p.m.

(Call to Order)

(Telephonic conference)

THE CLERK: United States District Court for the District of Nevada is now in session, the Honorable Carla Baldwin Carry presiding. This is the date set for a telephonic discovery hearing regarding the proposed stipulation and modification to scheduling order in Case Number 18-CV-0296-LRH-CBC, Tesla, Inc. versus Martin Tripp.

Present telephonically on behalf of Plaintiff, Sean Gates. Present telephonically on behalf of Defendant, Fletcher Carpenter and William Fischbach.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone. We are here for a conference related to a stipulation and modification of the scheduling order that I received filed yesterday at Document Number 97. I would also note for the record that my courtroom deputy has been contacted on more than one occasion over the last week about how to handle filing motions to compel which I find particularly concerning since the discovery deadline has since closed.

Let me go back through the record. First off, on Document Number 31, the Court initially entered a scheduling order on August 28th of 2018. Discovery cutoff at that point was set for January 7th, 2019. When I started on the bench, I began having monthly scheduling management conferences with the

parties to address issues as they arose so that we would not have any delays in discovery and that discovery would proceed on a timely basis. On Document Number 55, that was entered at 12/3/2018 which was the first extension of the scheduling order.

The scheduling order deadline for discovery cutoff was set for March 11th of 2019. On March 6th of 2018, I then set a second extension on the discovery deadline for July 10th, 2019 and that was entered at Document Number 68. However, I remember very clearly that hearing and having a discussion about making sure that we had sufficient time built into the scheduling order so that discovery could be completed. And, in fact, I believe there was a discussion about extending the times that the parties had originally asked for at that point to ensure that we could get discovery done.

Discovery cutoff was set for July 10th of 2019. We continued to have our monthly scheduling hearings. We had discussions at numerous different occasions about issues such as, very specifically, the deposition of Elon Musk. I was told several times that the parties were trying to work through that but that they would not be briefing that until they had more discovery concluded. I think I said on more than one occasion that we could have that addressed when the parties were ready.

We then had another scheduling management conference.

I then entered an Order Number 81 on June 19th of 2019 which is

1 for this current discovery cutoff which was for September 9th,

2 | 2019. Two, three weeks before, I received a stipulation now

3 from the parties seeking a modification of the scheduling

4 order. I am not inclined to grant this.

Under Rule 16, the parties will get extensions of time for scheduling orders where they show -- and I quote -- "good cause." The good cause standard states very clearly that it is related to the diligence of the parties in seeking the amendment to the scheduling order.

In the current stipulation that I received, what I was given as related to "good cause" is a statement on Page 2 starting at Line 9 that says, "Good cause exists for this modification to allow the Court to rule on motions prior to the filing of dispositive motions." Well, the problem with that is that under the case law -- if you read the case law -- if you can't get your motion to compel on file and heard before the close of discovery, it is untimely.

And so the good cause standard really requires the parties to extend and explain what it is that they did or didn't do in terms of due diligence to get their discovery done within the deadline set. There is nothing in this stipulation that goes to the question of the diligence that was used by the parties to complete the task necessary for discovery, including filing their motions to compel within the discovery deadline.

So I am going to turn to the Plaintiff's counsel to

- 1 discuss whether or not there should be any type of briefing on
- 2 | this issue or whether discovery should simply be closed because
- 3 | it already is, in fact, closed. So let me go to the
- 4 | Plaintiff's counsel. What is your position?
- 5 MR. GATES: Your Honor, this is Sean Gates on behalf
- 6 of Tesla. We are not intending to file any motions. The
- 7 motions would be filed by Mr. Tripp's counsel. If the rule is
- 8 | that discovery cutoff means that motions have to be filed
- 9 before then, we don't have an issue with that.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Okay. Then let me go to Mr. Tripp's
- 11 | counsel. I think it's Mr. Fischbach or Mr. Carpenter.
- 12 MR. FISCHBACH: Yes, Judge, Mr. Fischbach here. And
- 13 | I -- with all respect to the Court, I need to point out to the
- 14 | Court the -- there's two issues here and the primary one is the
- 15 | deposition of Elon Musk and at the last status conference that
- 16 | I participated in -- and I believe it was in the May or June
- 17 | timeframe -- we particularly addressed the issue of Elon Musk's
- 18 deposition and the timing of that.
- Tesla insisted that we complete all 30(b)(6)
- 20 depositions and all other depositions and all other discovery
- 21 | before we even addressed the issue of Elon Musk's discussion --
- 22 or deposition and what I raised with the Court then and what we
- 23 all agreed to was that the deposition of Elon Musk could occur
- 24 after the close of ordinary discovery.
- 25 And as a result, we didn't bring it to the Court's

- 1 | attention until after the close of ordinary discovery based
- 2 | specifically on the Court's representation to us that that
- 3 | issue could await the close of the rest of discovery. In fact,
- 4 the last 30(b)(6) deposition --
- 5 THE COURT: Well, then why wasn't that in the
- 6 | stipulation? You know, I have over 500 cases on my docket and
- 7 I don't necessarily remember every single thing that I say at
- 8 | every single scheduling conference. So it would have been
- 9 helpful for me to have a statement of good cause in this
- 10 stipulation that specifically detailed what the previous
- 11 | statements were by the Court and by the parties with respect to
- 12 | certain issues.
- 13 MR. FISCHBACH: And, Judge, I'll accept
- 14 | responsibility for that. Although Mr. Gates was kind enough to
- 15 draft the stipulation, I should have added some language to
- 16 | that effect to refresh everybody's recollection on the
- 17 discussions we had had regarding Mr. Musk's deposition. So I
- 18 do apologize for not being clear.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Okay. Because I -- if that is the
- 20 representation I made, then my apologies to you, Mr. Fischbach,
- 21 | because I will be honest with you. I was surprised that I had
- 22 | not gotten anything from you related to this issue. I assumed
- 23 | that the parties had been able to meet and confer and work it
- 24 out.
- 25 MR. FISCHBACH: Well, Judge, we did meet and confer

- 1 | immediately following the last 30(b)(6) deposition which,
- 2 again, did occur on the discovery cutoff of September 9th.
- 3 Mr. Gates asked that I submit to him a letter outlining our
- 4 kind of scope of Mr. Musk's deposition and proposed time
- 5 | limitations. I did that.
- 6 We outlined a couple of topics and we were willing to
- 7 agree to a four-hour time limitation of Mr. Musk's deposition.
- 8 | I believe Mr. Gates floated that one up to his client and he
- 9 indicated that I really just needed to file a motion at that
- 10 point. So that's -- that was kind of the genesis of this.
- 11 As for the other issues there, Judge, I'll be very
- 12 | clear. A lot of that stuff is -- are things we think should be
- 13 excluded under Rule 37. And I thought the more prudent course
- 14 of action was to seek to compel at first. If the Court is --
- 15 | believes that that should have occurred before the discovery
- 16 cutoff, we're okay taking the Rule 37 route because those were
- 17 | things we requested from Tesla and we're not divided.
- 18 But respect to Mr. Musk's deposition, we do believe
- 19 there is good cause, Judge, and we do believe that based on
- 20 | what we learned at the mediation, we think Mr. Musk's testimony
- 21 | is going to play into a dispositive motion and that's why the
- 22 parties had discussed pushing back the dispositive motion
- 23 deadline.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I wish you had put that
- 25 | information in your stipulation because my -- not only my

```
1
    demeanor but certainly my attitude about all of this would have
 2
    been very different had I been told or reminded of what had
 3
    happened. We have multiple scheduling management conferences
    in this case, Mr. Fischbach, and, in fact, I have been very
 4
 5
    complimentary of the parties in trying to move this along.
              But I did not recall specifically conversations. I
 6
 7
    knew that there were conversations about the parties wanting to
    complete other discovery before the issue of Mr. Musk being
 8
 9
    deposed became -- either the parties resolved it or it was
10
    presented to the Court but I did not recall having
11
    conversations about it taking place after the discovery cutoff.
12
              So this is what I'm going to do. I'm not going to
13
    extend discovery though for the second set of issues that was
14
             I don't recall that ever even being brought up.
    raised.
15
    I'll ask you. Do you -- was this an issue -- the issues of
16
    these documents not being provided, was that raised before?
17
              MR. FISCHBACH: No, Judge, and I'll be very clear.
18
    That's something we're happy to deal with in a Rule 37 motion.
19
    We thought maybe -- we were concerned the Court would say,
20
    well, file a motion to compel first. So that's why we made
21
    that inquiry. But as far as that issue, Judge, I don't think
22
    you need to extend discovery. For that purpose, we'll just go
23
    with a Rule 37 motion for those documents.
24
              THE COURT: Have the parties met and conferred about
```

the -- about these issues?

- 1 | will do is we will set it out.
- 2 Mr. Fischbach, how much time do you need to file that
- 3 | motion, sir?
- 4 MR. FISCHBACH: I could get it -- it should be no
- 5 later than two weeks, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. So the reason I'm asking,
- 7 Mr. Gates and Mr. Fischbach, is because what my thought is, is
- 8 to have you re-set or re-provide a stipulation that gives
- 9 definitive dates. I'm not in favor of doing open-ended dates
- 10 and, in fact, it actually becomes very confusing for the Court
- 11 when we do that.
- 12 So what I'm going to ask is that an updated
- 13 | stipulation be filed that details out the information related
- 14 to the Elon Musk deposition issues, the meet-and-confer. There
- 15 | needs to be some language in there about meet-and-confers and
- 16 things like that. What we will do is -- how much time will you
- 17 | need for response, Mr. Gates? I'm assuming 14 days would be
- 18 | sufficient?
- 19 MR. GATES: The one thing I need to check, your
- 20 Honor, is how that falls. I have a vacation, my wife's
- 21 birthday present, and I'm going to be out of the country during
- 22 | that time. So 14 days would be reasonable. I just --
- 23 THE COURT: Come on, Mr. Fischbach -- or Mr. Gates.
- 24 MR. GATES: Mr. Fischbach and I have worked -- we
- 25 | have worked together very well and I'm sure we can accommodate

```
11
1
    that so it will be reasonable.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, what my thought is, is maybe give
    three weeks on the motion and three weeks --
 3
 4
              MR. GATES: Let me just see where that --
 5
              THE COURT: Where that would land?
 6
              MR. FISCHBACH: Where does that fall? Yeah, it just
7
    depends on where it falls.
              THE COURT: Today is the 27th. So it --
 8
 9
              MR. FISCHBACH: Sorry. I'm just trying to pull up
    a --
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         So October 18th?
12
              MR. FISCHBACH: Three weeks would be --
13
              THE COURT: For the motion.
14
              MR. FISCHBACH: Oh, that would be perfect.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's do that.
16
              MR. FISCHBACH: Yeah, if the motion were due on the
17
    18th, that would be perfect.
18
              THE COURT: Okay. So motion due on the 18th.
19
    Response due two weeks later. Is that -- that puts us on
20
    November 1st. Is that fair?
21
              MR. GATES: That is fair, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. And then, Mr. Fischbach, is seven
23
    days enough time for a response or for a reply brief?
24
              MR. FISCHBACH: Judge, if I could ask for ten because
25
    I'm going to be taking some depositions in Tennessee on the 7th
```

```
12
1
    and 8th of November.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Well, let's give that 14
 3
    then. So we'll go two more weeks.
 4
              THE CLERK: November 15th, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: November 15th. It's almost a perfect
 6
    birthday present for me. So thank you very much. My birthday
 7
    is a week later. So maybe we can have the hearing on
    Thanksgiving Day. That'll be fun for everybody. I'm kidding.
    You guys can laugh. That's fine.
10
              Okay. My thought is to review the briefing and let's
11
    tentatively schedule -- and we can do it telephonically if the
12
    parties would like a hearing date but if I don't need it, I'll
13
    vacate it. How about November 29th?
14
              MR. FISCHBACH: The 29th.
15
              MR. GATES: That's the day after Thanksgiving, your
16
    Honor.
              THE COURT: Oh, it is? Oh.
17
18
              MR. GATES:
                          It is.
19
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. Well, then let's go out --
20
              MR. GATES: At least on my calendar.
21
              THE COURT: -- to December 6th. I'll be here.
22
              MR. GATES:
                          Okay.
23
                         No one else will be here.
              THE COURT:
24
              MR. GATES: December 6th is acceptable, Judge.
25
              THE CLERK:
                          See how quiet I was?
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah. You-all are getting some sort of a
 2
    sense of the lack of life that I have outside of the office.
    So December 6th. Let's do it for December 6th. Will that
 3
 4
    work?
 5
              MR. FISCHBACH: That'll work for Mr. Tripp, your
 6
    Honor.
 7
                          Okay. So this is what we'll do.
              THE COURT:
 8
              MR. GATES:
                         And that'll work for Tesla.
 9
              THE COURT: Given those deadlines, what we will do is
10
    I will ask you to file a stipulation extending out the
11
    discovery deadlines for the purpose of taking Mr. Musk's
12
    deposition should that be ordered until -- how about January
13
    15th?
14
              MR. GATES: Fifteenth. My only concern, your Honor,
15
    is if you order it on the 6th, given kind of Mr. Musk's life
16
    and responsibilities, it may be difficult to schedule him.
17
    if we could go to -- did you say the 15th -- January 31?
18
              THE COURT: Okay, that's fine with me.
                                                       Is there any
19
    objection to that from the -- from you, Mr. Fischbach?
20
              MR. FISCHBACH: No, your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. So that's what we'll do.
22
    that'll give you plenty -- my thought was if I do order the
23
    deposition of Mr. Musk, that'll, you know, avoid any conflicts
24
    with holidays, New Year's, Christmas and all of that.
                                                            So that
25
    was sort of why I was thinking into the January timeframe.
```

But what we'll do is if -- like I said, if we need the hearing, we'll keep it on. If not, then I will just vacate it and issue an order on Mr. Musk's deposition. And then that will be the end of discovery.

But what I'll ask is that be the discovery cutoff date that's placed in the stipulation and then for the parties to set a specific date for dispositive motion deadlines. That would be the due date as well as for the pretrial order. I think we normally have a specific date for that. If not, that's fine but at least definitely for dispositive motion practice.

Is there anything further, Mr. -- let me just go -- start with Mr. Fischbach.

MR. FISCHBACH: Judge, I'm still not clear on how it affects the dispositive motion deadline.

THE COURT: Well, I think what we will do is we will just simply set the date from the end of discovery. So the discovery cutoff deadline we'll set for January 31st to take Mr. Musk's deposition and then you can pick your, you know, timeframe after the discovery cutoff deadline for the timeframe for dispositive motions.

MR. FISCHBACH: And we should --

THE COURT: If you finish Mr. Musk's depo and you don't have other discovery, then that just gives you more time to draft up your dispositive motions. That's how I would see

- 1 it but I would like to have a specific date for that and you
- 2 can pick that date. Just pick a date.
- 3 MR. FISCHBACH: Understood, your Honor. We'll put
- 4 | that -- we'll meet and confer and put that in the stipulation.
- 5 **THE COURT:** Okay, perfect.
- Is there anything further, Mr. Gates, or any
- 7 | clarification from you, sir?
- 8 MR. GATES: No, your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I will look
- 10 | forward to the briefing and I think that's all I have. Let me
- 11 | just make -- clarify again one more time. Is there anything
- 12 else, Mr. Fischbach, that we should cover while we're on the
- 13 | call?
- MR. FISCHBACH: Not at all, Judge. Again, I
- 15 appreciate your understanding on this issue and, again, I'll --
- 16 | mea culpa for not submitting a more detailed stipulation to the
- 17 | Court.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Well, my apologies for not remembering.
- 19 MR. GATES: Oh, and, your Honor --
- THE COURT: I should have remembered, I guess.
- 21 Who is this?
- 22 MR. GATES: Your Honor, one thing. This is
- 23 Mr. Gates.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- MR. GATES: I apologize. One thing we didn't touch

- 1 on is your deputy had informed us that the page limit would be 2 ten pages and then five pages for the reply. Is that -- should 3 we include that in the stipulation?
 - THE COURT: Well, you know what? I think, given what Mr. Fischbach said about additional information that came in through discovery, why don't you tell me how much time -- how much do you need, Mr. Fischbach? And be cognizant of the fact that I don't want to read a treatise on taking a CEO's deposition.
 - MR. FISCHBACH: I think I can do it in ten pages. I really do. And if for some reason, that -- I feel otherwise, I would reach out to the Court but I -- if I can't say it in ten pages, then, you know, that's --

THE COURT: I appreciate that. Well, why don't we do this? Why don't I give you guys both 15 pages and ten pages for the reply brief, the aspirational goal being ten? that'll give you a little bit of extra if there's more things about things that happened during the course of the discovery period that maybe I need to be told about that may not be able to be put in the documents.

That's certainly more in line with what would be probably more than enough, I would think, given this is a fairly discrete issue. It's not as if this is -- you know, it shouldn't be that complex, I would think. So is that sufficient, Mr. Fischbach?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

when you're filing it --

Well, with that, we will be

Okay.

THE COURT:

25

```
19
1
    recess. I hope you both -- or I guess Mr. Carpenter is on the
 2
    phone too. Sir, I'm sorry to -- I'm not trying to ignore you.
 3
    I hope you-all have a nice weekend and we will hear from you at
 4
    the next hearing.
5
              MR. FISCHBACH: Thank you, your Honor.
 6
         (This proceeding ends at 1:26 p.m.)
7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFI	CATI	ON
---------	------	----

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

October 10, 2019

Signed Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER